

Large-scale magnetic fields in the inflationary universe

Kazuharu Bamba* [†] and Misao Sasaki[‡]

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

The generation of large-scale magnetic fields is studied in inflationary cosmology. We consider the violation of the conformal invariance of the Maxwell field by dilatonic as well as non-minimal gravitational couplings. We derive a general formula for the spectrum of large-scale magnetic fields for a general form of the coupling term and the formula for the spectral index. The result tells us clearly the (necessary) condition for the generation of magnetic fields with sufficiently large amplitude.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 98.62.En

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that there exist magnetic fields with the field strength $\sim 10^{-6}\text{G}$ on $1 - 10\text{kpc}$ scale in galaxies of all types (for detailed reviews of cosmic magnetic fields, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]) and in galaxies at cosmological distances [8]. Furthermore, magnetic fields in clusters of galaxies with the field strength $10^{-7} - 10^{-6}\text{G}$ on $10\text{kpc} - 1\text{Mpc}$ scale have been observed [9]. It is very interesting that magnetic fields in clusters of galaxies are as strong as galactic ones and that the coherence scale may be as large as $\sim\text{Mpc}$. The origin of these magnetic fields is not well understood yet. Galactic dynamo mechanisms [10] have been proposed to amplify very weak seed magnetic fields up to $\sim 10^{-6}\text{G}$. In fact, however, galactic dynamo mechanisms require initial seed magnetic fields to feed on, and the effectiveness of the dynamo amplification mechanism in galaxies at high redshifts or clusters of galaxies is not well established.

Proposed scenarios for the origin of cosmic magnetic fields fall into two broad categories. One is astrophysical processes, e.g., the Biermann battery mechanism [11] and the Weibel instability [12], which is a kind of plasma instabilities, and the other is cosmological processes in the early Universe, e.g., the first-order cosmological electroweak phase transition (EWPT) [13], quark-hadron phase transition (QCDPT) [14] (see also [15]), and the generation of the magnetic fields from primordial density perturbations before the epoch of recombination [16, 17, 18, 19]. It is difficult, however, for these processes to generate the magnetic fields on megaparsec scales with the sufficient field strength to account for the observed magnetic fields in galaxies and clusters of galaxies without requiring any dynamo amplification.

The problem is essentially the same as the problem of the large-scale structure formation for which one needed the adiabatic curvature perturbation of non-negligible amplitude on superhorizon scales, the so-called horizon problem. Then, just as this problem is solved by the inflationary cosmology, it is natural to look for the possibility of generating such a large-scale magnetic field during inflation [20]. However, the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe is conformal flat and the Maxwell theory is conformal invariant. Therefore, the conformal invariance must be broken to generate non-trivial magnetic fields.¹ Various conformal symmetry breaking mechanisms have been proposed so far [20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34].

Bamba and Yokoyama studied the case of the dilaton electromagnetism in Ref. [35], where the Lagrangian is in the form $f(\Phi)F^2$. The existence of the dilaton is motivated by string theory, and such a coupling is reasonable in the light of higher-dimensional theories. The case of the coupling function $f(\Phi) = e^{-\lambda\kappa\Phi}$, where $\kappa = \sqrt{8\pi G}$, was first analyzed by Ratra [23], in which the inflaton and the dilaton are identified. Bamba and Yokoyama then showed that magnetic fields with the current strength as large as 10^{-10}G on 1Mpc scale could be generated [35]. For this to be the case, however, they had to introduce a huge hierarchy between the coupling constant of the dilaton to the electromagnetic field λ and the coupling constant $\tilde{\lambda}$ in the dilaton potential, $\lambda/\tilde{\lambda} \gg 1$, in order for the spectrum of the generated magnetic fields to be sufficiently red so that the amplitude on large scales can be sufficiently large.

As a possible solution to the above huge hierarchy between λ and $\tilde{\lambda}$, Bamba and Yokoyama proposed a new scenario [36] by taking account of the effects of the stringy spacetime uncertainty relation (SSUR) [37]. They found

* Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

[†] E-mail: bamba@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

[‡] E-mail: misao@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

¹ In Ref. [21], the effect of the breaking of the conformal flatness due to scalar metric perturbations at the end of inflation is discussed.

that the SSUR of the metric perturbations could lead to magnetic fields with a nearly scale-invariant spectrum even if λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are of the same order of magnitude, and the amplitude of the generated magnetic fields could be as large as 10^{-10}G on 1Mpc scale at the present time.

As another mechanism to break the conformal invariance, non-minimal gravitational couplings were proposed by Turner and Widrow [20]. Many of the couplings they introduced break not only the conformal invariance but also the gauge invariance. The one that looks theoretically more appealing is of the form RF^2 , where R is the Ricci scalar. Such a term is known to arise in curved spacetime due to one-loop vacuum-polarization effects [38].

In the present paper, we consider the case when there are not only dilatonic and curvature couplings but also any possible coupling of the form $I(\Phi, R, \dots)F^2$, where the dots $(\dots)$ can be anything. This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe our model and derive the equations of motion. In Sec. III, we consider the evolution of the $U(1)$ gauge field and that of the magnetic fields in a generic slow-roll inflation model. We derive formulas for the spectrum of the generated magnetic field and the spectral index expressed very generally in terms of the coupling function $I(\Phi, R, \dots)$ without specifying its explicit form. These general formulas tell us clearly the necessary condition for the generation of a sufficiently large amplitude magnetic field on large scales. Finally, Sec. IV is devoted to conclusion.

II. MODEL

We consider a conformal violating Maxwell theory with the action,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{4} I(\Phi, R, \dots) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where I is an arbitrary function of non-trivial background fields at the moment. Naturally the dots $(\dots)$ in the argument of I will include the inflaton if the dilaton Φ does not play the role of the inflaton.

From the action (2.1), the electromagnetic field equation is given by

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu [\sqrt{-g} I F^{\mu\nu}] = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

We assume a spatially flat universe with the metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) d\mathbf{x}^2 = a^2(\eta)(-d\eta^2 + d\mathbf{x}^2), \quad (2.3)$$

where a is the scale factor and η is the conformal time. We consider the evolution of the $U(1)$ gauge field in this background. Its equation of motion in the Coulomb gauge, $A_0(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $\partial^j A_j(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$, becomes

$$\ddot{A}_i(t, \mathbf{x}) + \left(H + \frac{\dot{I}}{I} \right) \dot{A}_i(t, \mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta A_i(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$, and a dot denotes a time derivative, $\dot{} = \partial/\partial t$.

III. GENERIC SLOW-ROLL INFLATION

In this section, we consider the evolution of the $U(1)$ gauge field and that of the magnetic fields in generic slow-roll inflation.

A. Spectrum from quantum fluctuations

To begin with, we shall quantize the $U(1)$ gauge field $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$. It follows from the electromagnetic part of our model Lagrangian in Eq. (2.1) that the canonical momenta conjugate to $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$ are given by

$$\pi_0 = 0, \quad \pi_i = I a(t) \dot{A}_i(t, \mathbf{x}). \quad (3.1)$$

We impose the canonical commutation relation between $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\pi_j(t, \mathbf{y})$,

$$[A_i(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi_j(t, \mathbf{y})] = i \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ik \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mathbf{k}$ is comoving wave number and $k = |\mathbf{k}|$. From this relation, we obtain the expression for $A_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ as

$$A_i(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \sum_{\sigma=1,2} \left[\hat{b}(\mathbf{k}, \sigma) \epsilon_i(\mathbf{k}, \sigma) A(t, k) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, \sigma) \epsilon_i^*(\mathbf{k}, \sigma) A^*(t, k) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right], \quad (3.3)$$

where $\epsilon_i(\mathbf{k}, \sigma)$ ($\sigma = 1, 2$) are the two orthonormal transverse polarization vector, and $\hat{b}(\mathbf{k}, \sigma)$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, \sigma)$ are the annihilation and creation operators which satisfy

$$\left[\hat{b}(\mathbf{k}, \sigma), \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}', \sigma') \right] = \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'), \quad \left[\hat{b}(\mathbf{k}, \sigma), \hat{b}(\mathbf{k}', \sigma') \right] = \left[\hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}, \sigma), \hat{b}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}', \sigma') \right] = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

It follows from Eq. (2.4) that the mode function $A(k, t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\ddot{A}(k, t) + \left(H + \frac{\dot{I}}{I} \right) \dot{A}(k, t) + \frac{k^2}{a^2} A(k, t) = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

with the normalization condition,

$$A(k, t) \dot{A}^*(k, t) - \dot{A}(k, t) A^*(k, t) = \frac{i}{Ia}. \quad (3.6)$$

Replacing the independent variable t to η , we find that Eq. (3.5) becomes

$$A''(k, \eta) + \frac{I'}{I} A'(k, \eta) + k^2 A(k, \eta) = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to the conformal time η .

Although it is impossible to obtain the exact solution of Eq. (3.7) for the case when I is given by a general function of η , we can obtain an approximate solution with sufficient accuracy by using the WKB approximation on subhorizon scales and the long wavelength approximation on superhorizon scales, and match these solutions at the horizon crossing.

In the exact de Sitter background, we have $a = 1/(-H\eta)$ where H is the de Sitter Hubble parameter. Hence the horizon-crossing, which is defined by $H = k/a$, is given by $-k\eta = 1$. The subhorizon (superhorizon) scale corresponds to the region $k|\eta| \gg 1$ ($k|\eta| \ll 1$). We expect this to be also a sufficiently good definition for the horizon crossing for general slow-roll, almost exponential inflation.

Then the WKB subhorizon solution is given by

$$A_{\text{in}}(k, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} I^{-1/2} e^{-ik\eta}, \quad (3.8)$$

where we have assumed that the vacuum in the short-wavelength limit is the standard Minkowski vacuum.

The solution on superhorizon scales can be obtained by using the longwavelength expansion,

$$A_{\text{out}} = A_0(\eta) + k^2 A_1(\eta) + O(k^4). \quad (3.9)$$

Substituting Eq. (3.9) into Eq. (3.7), we obtain

$$A_0'' + \frac{I'}{I} A_0' = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$A_1'' + \frac{I'}{I} A_1' + A_0 = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Let the two independent solutions for A_{out} be u and v . For definiteness, we set the boundary conditions to be $u \rightarrow 1$ and $v \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow \eta_{\text{R}}$, where η_{R} is the conformal time at the time of reheating after inflation. From Eq. (3.10), the lowest order solutions are given by

$$u_0 = 1, \quad (3.12)$$

$$v_0 = \int_{\eta}^{\eta_{\text{R}}} \frac{1}{I(\tilde{\eta})} d\tilde{\eta}. \quad (3.13)$$

The solutions accurate to $O(k^2)$ can be obtained by the iteration using Eq. (3.11). We find

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_0 + k^2 u_0 \int_{\eta}^{\eta_R} d\eta' I(\eta') \int_{\eta'}^{\eta} \frac{d\eta''}{I(\eta'')}, \\ v &= v_0 + k^2 \int_{\eta}^{\eta_R} d\eta' v_0(\eta') I(\eta') \int_{\eta'}^{\eta} \frac{d\eta''}{I(\eta'')}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

With these solutions, the general superhorizon solution is given by

$$A_{\text{out}} = Cu + Dv, \quad (3.15)$$

where C and D are constant.

We match the subhorizon solution (3.8) with the superhorizon solution (3.15) at the horizon crossing $\eta = \eta_k \approx -/k$ to determine the constants C and D . The junction conditions are

$$A_{\text{in}}(\eta_k) = A_{\text{out}}(\eta_k), \quad (3.16)$$

$$A'_{\text{in}}(\eta_k) = A'_{\text{out}}(\eta_k). \quad (3.17)$$

The determination of C and D accurate to $O(k^2)$ are given in Appendix. It turns out that $O(k^2)$ corrections are small unless the function I is non-monotonic and sharply decreases on superhorizon scales. Hence we neglect the $O(k^2)$ corrections here and approximate A_{out} by the lowest order solution,

$$A_{\text{out}} = C(k) + D(k) \int_{\eta}^{\eta_R} \frac{1}{I(\tilde{\eta})} d\tilde{\eta}. \quad (3.18)$$

Substituting Eqs. (3.8) and (3.18) into Eqs. (3.16) and (3.17), we obtain

$$C(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} I^{-1/2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} I' + ikI \right) \int_{\eta}^{\eta_R} \frac{1}{I(\eta')} d\eta' \right] e^{-ik\eta} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_k}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$D(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} I^{-1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} I' + ikI \right) e^{-ik\eta} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_k}. \quad (3.20)$$

Neglecting the decaying mode solution, it then follows from Eqs. (3.18) that $|A(k, \eta)|^2$ at late times is given by

$$|A(k, \eta)|^2 = |C(k)|^2 = \frac{1}{2kI(\eta_k)} \left| 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{I'(\eta_k)}{kI(\eta_k)} + i \right) e^{-ik\eta_k} k \int_{\eta_k}^{\eta_R} \frac{I(\eta_k)}{I(\eta')} d\eta' \right|^2. \quad (3.21)$$

The proper magnetic field is given by

$$B_i^{\text{proper}}(t, \mathbf{x}) = a^{-1} B_i(t, \mathbf{x}) = a^{-2} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j A_k(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (3.22)$$

where $B_i(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the comoving magnetic field, and ϵ_{ijk} is the totally antisymmetric tensor ($\epsilon_{123} = 1$). Thus the spectrum of the magnetic field is given by

$$|B^{\text{proper}}(k, \eta)|^2 = 2 \frac{k^2}{a^4} |A(k, \eta)|^2 = 2 \frac{k^2}{a^4} |C(k)|^2, \quad (3.23)$$

where the factor 2 comes from the two polarization degrees of freedom. Thus the energy density of the generated magnetic field per unit logarithmic interval of k is given by

$$\rho_B(k, \eta) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{4\pi k^3}{(2\pi)^3} |B^{\text{proper}}(k, \eta)|^2 I(\eta) = \frac{k |C(k)|^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{k^4}{a^4} I(\eta), \quad (3.24)$$

and the density parameter per unit logarithmic interval of k after reheating becomes

$$\Omega_B(k, \eta) = \frac{\rho_B(k, \eta_R)}{\rho(\eta_R)} \frac{I(\eta)}{I(\eta_R)} = \frac{k^4}{T_R^4 a_R^4} \frac{15k |C(k)|^2}{N_{\text{eff}} \pi^4} I(\eta); \quad \rho(\eta_R) = N_{\text{eff}} \frac{\pi^2}{30} T_R^4, \quad (3.25)$$

where T_R is the reheating temperature, a_R is the scale factor at reheating, and N_{eff} is the effective massless degrees of freedom (2 for photons) which are thermalized at reheating. The spectral index of $\Omega_B(k)$ is then given by

$$n_B \equiv \frac{d \ln \Omega_B(k)}{d \ln k} = 4 + \frac{d \ln k |C(k)|^2}{d \ln k}. \quad (3.26)$$

B. Semi-quantitative estimate

Using Eq. (3.21) for $|C(k)|^2$, one may derive a general expression for the density parameter and the spectral index of the magnetic field in terms of I . However, the result will be very complicated and hence will not be very illuminating. To gain more insight into semi-quantitative nature of the generated magnetic field, let us therefore assume a specific form for the function I . We set

$$I(\eta) = I_* \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_*} \right)^{-\alpha}, \quad (3.27)$$

where η_* is some fiducial time during inflation, and α is a constant. As we shall see shortly, in order to obtain a cosmologically interesting result, we need a monotonically increasing I during the stage of inflation when the relevant comoving scales leave the horizon. So, we assume $\alpha > 0$ in the following. Then $|C|^2$ in Eq. (3.21) can be explicitly evaluated to be

$$k|C|^2 = \frac{1}{2I(\eta_k)} \left| 1 - \frac{\alpha + 2i}{2(\alpha + 1)} e^{-ik\eta_k} \right|^2 \equiv \frac{\mathcal{C}}{2I(\eta_k)}, \quad (3.28)$$

where $k\eta_k = O(1)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a constant of order unity.

Then, inserting the above equation to Eqs. (3.25) and (3.26), we obtain the explicit expressions for the density parameter and the spectral index at present η_0 :

$$\Omega_B(k, \eta_0) = \frac{k^4}{T_R^4 a_R^4} \frac{15\mathcal{C}}{2N_{\text{eff}}\pi^4 I_*} \left(\frac{\eta_k}{\eta_*} \right)^\alpha, \quad n_B = 4 - \alpha, \quad (3.29)$$

where $I(\eta_k) \propto k^\alpha$ and $I(\eta_0) = 1$ have been used. We see that for a spectrum with a sufficiently large amplitude, I_* must be sufficiently small, and for a spectral index close to a scale-invariant one, we need $\alpha \sim 4$.

To proceed further to make a more quantitative estimate, let us assume that the reheating takes place almost instantaneously so that η_R can be identified with the conformal time at the end of inflation, and $I(\eta)$ is given by Eq. (3.27) until the end of inflation. Noting that $a_R^2 \eta_R^2 \approx H_R^{-2}$ and $3H_R^2 = \rho_R/M_{pl}^2$, where $M_{pl} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G}$, from Eq. (3.25) we obtain

$$\Omega_B(k, \eta_0) = \mathcal{C} \frac{N_{\text{eff}}}{1080} \left(\frac{T_R}{M_{pl}} \right)^4 (-k\eta_R)^{4-\alpha} \frac{1}{I(\eta_R)}. \quad (3.30)$$

We see that higher the reheating temperature is, larger the density parameter of the magnetic field becomes. But given the fact that T_R cannot be made arbitrarily large, there is a limit to this mechanism. Instead the most important point of the above formula is the presence of the factor $1/I(\eta_R)$. It means one can make Ω_B arbitrarily large by choosing $I(\eta_R)$ arbitrarily small. An explicit example of this case was discussed in [35], where I was a function of a dilaton which is assumed to be rolling even after the end of inflation. It was found that the present magnetic field with rms amplitude as large as 10^{-9} Gauss can be obtained.

Of course, one should be aware of the fact that it is extremely difficult to construct a realistic model that gives both a rapidly increasing coupling function I and a very small value of I at reheating at the same time. Even just one of these two conditions is very hard to realize.

Before closing this section, we mention one important fact. From the above result, one might have an impression that there is an extra enhancement of the magnetic field from the post-inflationary stage. If this were true, it would mean the existence of a new enhancement mechanism. But it is not so. The reality is that the whole enhancement factor is entirely determined by the amplitude of I at horizon-crossing during inflation. This can be seen from the form of Ω_B given in Eqs. (3.29) in its original form,

$$\Omega_B(k, \eta_0) = \frac{k^4}{T_R^4 a_R^4} \frac{15\mathcal{C}}{2N_{\text{eff}}\pi^4} \frac{1}{I(\eta_k)}. \quad (3.31)$$

The above expression clearly shows that it is indeed the value of I at horizon-crossing that determines the final amplitude as well as the spectral index.

IV. CONCLUSION

In the present paper we have studied the generation of large-scale magnetic fields in inflationary cosmology, breaking the conformal invariance of the electromagnetic fields by introducing a coupling IF^2 with I depending on non-trivial

background fields that vary in time. We have derived a general formula for the spectrum of large-scale magnetic fields for a general form of the coupling term and the formula for the spectral index. The result shows the necessary condition for the generation of magnetic fields with large amplitude. Namely, the coupling function I must be extremely small and rapidly increasing in time during inflation. Such a coupling seems very unnatural at first sight. Nevertheless, considering the recently much discussed issue of the string landscape, which tells us that infinitely many different low energy theories are possible [39], the naturalness may not be crucial, and it may be worth searching for a model that realizes a successful inflationary universe and satisfies the necessary condition for the generation of a large magnetic field.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Monbu-Kagakusho 21st century COE Program “Center for Diversity and Universality in Physics”, and by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) No. 14102004 and (B) No. 17340075. The work of K.B. was also supported by a Monbu-Kagakusho Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows.

APPENDIX

In this appendix, we determine the constants C and D in Eq. (3.15) by taking into account the terms up to order k^2 . Substituting Eq. (3.15) into Eqs. (3.16) and (3.17), we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{in}} \\ A'_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_k} = \mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$\mathcal{M} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 - k^2 I_1 & I_2 (1 - k^2 I_1) \\ k^2 I_3 / I & [-1 + k^2 (I_1 + I_2 I_3)] / I \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_k}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Here, $I_1(\eta)$, $I_2(\eta)$, and $I_3(\eta)$ are defined by

$$I_1(\eta) \equiv \int_{\eta}^{\eta_R} \left[\frac{\int_{\tilde{\eta}}^{\eta_R} I(\tilde{\eta}) d\tilde{\eta}}{I(\tilde{\eta})} \right] d\tilde{\eta}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$I_2(\eta) \equiv \int_{\eta}^{\eta_R} \frac{1}{I(\tilde{\eta})} d\tilde{\eta}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$I_3(\eta) \equiv \int_{\eta}^{\eta_R} I(\tilde{\eta}) d\tilde{\eta}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

From Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2), we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A_{\text{in}} \\ A'_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_k}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1 - k^2 I_1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - k^2 (I_1 + I_2 I_3) & I I_2 (1 - k^2 I_1) \\ k^2 I_3 & -I (1 - k^2 I_1) \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_k}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

-
- [1] Y. Sofue, M. Fujimoto, and R. Wielebinski, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **24**, 459 (1986).
 - [2] P. P. Kronberg, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **57**, 325 (1994).
 - [3] D. Grasso and H. R. Rubinstein, *Phys. Rep.* **348**, 163 (2001).
 - [4] C. L. Carilli and G. B. Taylor, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **40**, 319 (2002).

- [5] L. M. Widrow, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 775 (2002).
- [6] M. Giovannini, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **13**, 391 (2004).
- [7] V. B. Semikoz and D. D. Sokoloff, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **14**, 1839 (2005).
- [8] P. P. Kronberg, J. J. Perry, and E. L. H. Zukowski, *Astrophys. J.* **355**, L31 (1990); **387**, 528 (1992).
- [9] K. -T. Kim, P. P. Kronberg, P. E. Dewdney, and T. L. Landecker, *Astrophys. J.* **355**, 29 (1990); K. -T. Kim, P. C. Tribble, and P. P. Kronberg, *ibid.* **379**, 80 (1991); T. E. Clarke, P. P. Kronberg, and H. Böhringer, *ibid.* **547**, L111 (2001).
- [10] E. N. Parker, *Astrophys. J.* **163**, 255 (1971); *Cosmical Magnetic Fields* (Clarendon, Oxford, England, 1979); Ya. B. Zel'dovich, A. A. Ruzmaikin, and D. D. Sokoloff, *Magnetic Fields in Astrophysics* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1983).
- [11] L. Biermann, *Z. Naturforsch.* **5a**, 65 (1950); R. M. Kulsrud, R. Cen, J. P. Ostriker, and D. Ryu, *Astrophys. J.* **480**, 481 (1997); N. Y. Gnedin, A. Ferrara, and E. G. Zweibel, *ibid.* **539**, 505, (2000); G. Davies and L. M. Widrow, *ibid.* **540**, 755, (2000); H. Hanayama *et al.*, *ibid.* **633**, 941, (2005).
- [12] E. S. Weibel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2**, 83 (1959); N. Okabe and M. Hattori, *Astrophys. J.* **599**, 964 (2003); T. N. Kato, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 080705 (2005); Y. Fujita and T. N. Kato, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **364**, 247 (2005).
- [13] G. Baym, D. Bödeker, and L. McLerran, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 662 (1996).
- [14] J. M. Quashnock, A. Loeb, and D. N. Spergel, *Astrophys. J.* **344**, L49 (1989).
- [15] D. Boyanovsky, H. J. de Vega, and M. Simionato, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 123505 (2003); *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 023502 (2003).
- [16] K. Ichiki *et al.*, *Science* **311**, 827 (2006); K. Takahashi, K. Ichiki, H. Ohno, and H. Hanayama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 121301 (2005).
- [17] R. Gopal and S. Sethi, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **363**, 529 (2005).
- [18] E. R. Siegel and J. N. Fry, *arXiv:astro-ph/0604526*.
- [19] Z. Berezhiani and A. D. Dolgov, *Astropart. Phys.* **21**, 59 (2004).
- [20] M. S. Turner and L. M. Widrow, *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 2743 (1988).
- [21] A. L. Maroto, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 083006 (2001).
- [22] F. D. Mazzitelli and F. M. Spedalieri, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 6694 (1995); G. Lambiase and A. R. Prasanna, *ibid.* **70**, 063502 (2004).
- [23] B. Ratra, *Astrophys. J.* **391**, L1 (1992); Report No. GRP-287/CALT-68-1751.
- [24] W. D. Garretson, G. B. Field, and S. M. Carroll, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 5346 (1992); D. Lemoine and M. Lemoine, *ibid.* **52**, 1955 (1995); M. Gasperini, M. Giovannini, and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3796 (1995); M. Giovannini, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 061301 (2001); *arXiv:hep-ph/0104214*; *arXiv:astro-ph/0212346*; M. R. Garousi, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Lett. B* **606**, 1 (2005); M. A. Ganjali, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2005) 004; M. M. Anber and L. Sorbo, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10** (2006) 018.
- [25] E. A. Calzetta, A. Kandus, and F. D. Mazzitelli, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 7139 (1998); A. Kandus, E. A. Calzetta, F. D. Mazzitelli, and C. E. M. Wagner, *Phys. Lett. B* **472**, 287 (2000); M. Giovannini and M. Shaposhnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 103512 (2000).
- [26] A. -C. Davis, K. Dimopoulos, T. Prokopec, and O. Törnkvist, *Phys. Lett. B* **501**, 165 (2001); K. Dimopoulos, T. Prokopec, O. Törnkvist, and A. C. Davis, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 063505 (2002); T. Prokopec, O. Törnkvist, and R. Woodard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 101301 (2002).
- [27] B. A. Bassett, G. Pollifrone, S. Tsujikawa, and F. Viniegra, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 103515 (2001); F. Finelli and A. Gruppuso, *Phys. Lett. B* **502**, 216 (2001).
- [28] A. D. Dolgov, *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 2499 (1993).
- [29] O. Bertolami and D. F. Mota, *Phys. Lett. B* **455**, 96 (1999).
- [30] M. Gasperini, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 047301 (2001).
- [31] T. Prokopec, *arXiv:astro-ph/0106247*.
- [32] K. Enqvist, A. Jokinen, and A. Mazumdar, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **11** (2004) 001.
- [33] O. Bertolami and R. Monteiro, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 123525 (2005).
- [34] A. Ashoorioon and R. B. Mann, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 103509 (2005).
- [35] K. Bamba and J. Yokoyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 043507 (2004).
- [36] K. Bamba and J. Yokoyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 083508 (2004).
- [37] T. Yoneya, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **4**, 1587 (1989); M. Li and T. Yoneya, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 1219 (1997); T. Yoneya, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **103**, 1081 (2000).
- [38] I. T. Drummond and S. J. Hathrell, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 343 (1980).
- [39] L. Susskind, *arXiv:hep-th/0302219*.